

I I CONGRESO I NIERNACIONAL DE A UFABELIZACIÓN T EDNOLÓGICA- B ADALOZ- 29/1 DE DICIEMBRE 2006

ELECTRONIC VOTING-INTERNET VOTING SYSTEMS:

NEDAP EXPERIENCE IN EUROPE



Founded in 1929 in Amsterdam600 employees

In the election industry since 1967





Main activities:

AGRI automation, management and information systems for the diary and pig farming sectors

Retail Support anti-shoplifting systems, management and information systems for lost prevention

Security Management systems for access control, payment, fire and burglar alarms, observation and biometrics

Election Systems voting machines and election management and information systems
Suppliers market electronic controls

Manufacturing and Assembly

■ Turnover 2003 : 124 Million € or 150 Million \$ US



Mechanical machine build 1969 20 columns and 30 rows = 600 votable positions 1 election equals one choice, list proportional representation (Dutch elections)





Memory module



Poll worker box

First generation electronic voting machine build in 1978

25 columns and 30 rows = 750 positions 1 election equals one choice, list proportional representation (Dutch elections)



Second generation electronic voting machine 1991

36 columns and 31 rows = 1116 votable positions

1 election equals one choice, list proportional representation (Dutch elections)



Used in the Netherlands and pilots in France and the UK

- 36 columns and 31 rows = 1116 votable positions
- 2 elections, one choice per election, list proportional representation (Dutch elections)



With the third generation machines Nedap has:

- 2. Integrated the machine with third party election management software.
- 3. Introduced the programming reading unit PRU making central programming (configuring) and counting possible.
- 4. Increased security by making it impossible to program (configure) modules on the machines.





The Nedap Election Solution System is an integrated election system and comprises:

- NEDAP voting machine
- Reading/programming unit
- Software modules





Supported election types by the Universal European Machine:

- 3 voter classes to vote for 1 till 3 groups of sub elections.
- Elections are of the type proportional representation for a party or list and or person.
- First past the post Elections
- Propositions
- It is possible to cast an invalid or blanc vote by means of a special button.



Supported election types by the Universal European Machine and the standard European firmware:

- Workers union elections where voters can have rights to cast more then one ballot
- Weighted and distributed voting are supported 3 votes can be distributed over 1 till 3 persons.
- The selections made in every group of elections are voted for by actuating the cast vote button by the voter.
- The display on the poll worker box shows which status the machine is in.
- Machines support statistics for voters age groups and gender.



Voter trust & confidence:

- All selections made by the voter are confirmed in the voter LCD display, in this way the voter can be assured the ballot paper on the machine is actually the ballot paper programmed in the machine.
- The order of selections and the maximum number of open selections before actuating the cast vote button are restricted in order to present full information in the voter display. In case a voter can make more selections then can be shown in the display special voter panels are constructed to give the desired information.
- Propriety hardware and firmware are tested by independent test authorities.
- The firmware is tested line by line and stored in E-proms so it cannot be changed without replacing the E-proms by opening the sealed compartment.



LIBERTY VOTE the system for the USA

The machine is the electronically equivalent of the AVM mechanical machine and requires minimum voter training 32 columns and 18 rows = 576 votable positions each with 1 LED



LIBERTY VOTE the system for the USA



- 1. general elections with cross and multi party endorsement
- 2. write in voting
- 3. multiple party primaries
- 4. Propositions
- 5. All ADA features are included;
 - Audio support
 - Fond enlargement in the voter screen
 - Wheel chair accessible
 - 100% controllable from voter control panel



THE NEDAP VOTING MACHINE BOOTH



Folded for transport



Voting machine in active position

Front side facing wall to ensure voter privacy



Easy transportation





Weight 61 lb (27,5 kg)
ABS suitcase folded 38"x23.8"x7,5" (96 x 60,5 x 19cm)



Compact storage









Easy storage



Auxiliary battery connectors

- Data are retained 20 years without battery support
- Requires minimum maintenance because has no build in batteries





Benefits NEDAP Election Solutions systems

- Time saving
- Quality increase
- Easy preparation
- Immediate results
- Costs savings
- User friendly
- Secure



Elimination of:

- Counting by hand
- Invalid votes
- Recounts
- Errors in documents
- Fraudulent procedures

ON LINE VOTING SYSTEM



- Online voting with internet technology Combined with voting in polling stations
- Not a nationwide system but added virtual polling stations per municipality

The Nedap online voting principle



STEP 1: The voter gets the list of candidates





STEP 2: the voter select a candidate





STEP 3:



The system generates a 'mines-list'. This is a random selection out of the candidates.





STEP 4: The system adds the selected candidate to the minus list generating the 'plus-list'



- There are two servers, municipality has a server and a server is placed under supervision of a trusted third party
 - The system sends the 'plus-list' to server 1 and the 'minuslist' to server 2





- Depending on the connection between the polling stations and the servers the plus and minus lists of the voters who vote on the polling stations are removed continuously or directly after poll close.
- The online selections of the voters that have voted on a polling station are overruled and replaced by the final vote made on the polling station under controlled conditions.



The voter ID's are removed and the servers

1 and 2 add up all the candidates in the 'plus- en minus-lists'





- Servers 1 and 2 exchange 'plus- & minus-list' totals
- The 'plus- en minus-list' totals are balanced
- This results in the totals per selected candidate for the virtual polling station, **Solution**

thus the final result of the virtual polling station is available.

- The result of the virtual polling station is added to the result(s) of the physical polling station.
- This sum gives the final election result.

Timmer Punda	Azough	Van Bommel	Abayrak Abayrak		Bom	Van der Laan	Lambrechts		Van Mitenburg	Rosterd Mosterd	Oplaat Oplaat	Spies	Van der Staai	Verburg
Duijvestein (PvdA)	Duyyendak	wanGent (GrL)	Boelhouwer (PvdA)	Bos (PudA)	Depla (PycA)	Egerschot	Leerdam (PvdA)	Nis (WD)	Crab)	Rouvoet (Christen Unix)	Schippers (WVD)	Verhagen	Van der Vies (SGP)	Aasted-Madsen-Va Stabout (CDA)
Gerkens (SP)	Halsema (GrL)	Jrgang Irgang	Van Diken (PvdA)	Diksma (PusiA)	Disselbloer (PvdA)	Noorman (PydA)	Szabó (WD)	Tichelaar (PvdA)	Slob (Christen Unie)	Snjder-Hazehof	De Vries (VVD)	Aterna	De Vries (CDA)	Eerdmans (LPF)
Jungbluth	Kant (SP)	Karimi (GrL)	Doums (Prod.)	Dubbelboer (PvdA)	Hamer (PvdA)	Van der Sande	Van Schijnder (VVD)	Veenendaal (VVD)	Weekers (VVD)	Cčetiz (CDA)	Algra (CDA)	Eski (CDA)	Van As (LPF)	Van Haersma Buma
Marinissen (SP)	Roets (PvsA)	Samsom (PvdA)	Heerreskerk (PvdA)	Van Heemst (PvdA)	Van Heterer (PvdA)	XVVIII	Wessglas	Wilders (Groep Wilders)	Van Bochove (CDA)	Brinkel (CDA)	Bujs (CDA)	Haverkamp (CDA)	Herben	Hermans (LPF)
Smeets (PvdA)	Smits (PvdA)	Straub (PvdA)	Kasbeek (PvdA)	Koenders (PvdA)	Meijer (PvdA)	Van Aartsen (VVD)	Aptroot (VVD)	Van Baalen (VVD)	Van der Camp (CDA)	Ferrier (CDA)	Van Fessem (CDA)	Hessels	Varela (LPF)	Kaopmans (CDA)
Stuuman (PvdA)	Van Dam (PvdA)	Van Velzen (SP)	Timmermans (PivdA)	Tjon-A-Ten (PvdA)	Bakker (D66)	De Nerée Iot Babberich	Balemans (VVD)	Van Beek (VVD)	De Haan (CDA)	Jager,	Joidersma (CDA)	Kraneveldt (LPF)	Mastwik (CDA)	Nawin (Groep Nawin)
Vendrk (GrL)	Vergeer (SP)	Vos (GrL)	Bussemaker (PvdA)	Crone (PysiA)	Ditrich (D66)	Bick (VVD)	Hium (CDA)	Hirsi Ali (VVD)	Jonker (CDA)	Kitera	Kortenhorst (CDA)	Smide (CDA)	Sterk (CDA)	Van Dijk (CDA)
De Wit (SP)	Wolfsen (PvdA)	Wasikens (PvdA)	Eisink (PvdA)	Koser Kaya (D66)	Fierens (PvdA)	Hodstra (VVD)	Ten Hoopen (CDA)	Huizinga (Christen Unie)	Oerle-Van der Hors	at Omizigi	Ormel (CDA)	Koomen (CDA)	Van Lth (CDA)	Vietsch (CDA)
De Vries	Verdaas	Verbeet	Van der Ham	Dezentjé Hamming	Kruijsen	De Krom	Lenards	Luchterweid	De Paler	Ramborus	Schreijer	Van Vroonhoven-Kol	Van den Brink	Van Winsen



- The procedures to determine the result are not changed in any way, the on line votes are simply on an extra virtual polling.
- The introduction of on line voting does not ask for forced change or modification of existing
- The method is also functions in referenda
- No cryptography of election data thus no complicated key management.
- A transparent cloaking system which can be explained to all the voters and mimicked with printed documents.



Traditional polling station







- Stays functional independent of the used method of registering the votes and counting the results.
- The registering of voters should preferably take place on line



- The voters can overrule their on line vote in a controlled environment.
- The voter does not have to choose in advance where and how to vote











Does online voting suffice?

1. One men one vote

2. Free of coercion or fraud

Because it is possible to overrule the on line vote in the polling station coercion, family voting and vote selling lose their potential value

3. Accurate

Independent test institutes can certify the system

- 4. Transparent
- 5. Reliable

Decentralized structure with physical polling station as back up



- **Conform all requirements**
- Voters can vote from every location
- Polling stations remain in use
- Higher turnout also in the future
- Controllable costs.



Conclusion

Nedap developed a reliable on line election system
<u>Its time to test and implement</u>



T HANH YOU FOR YOUR ATENION



